

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,888	12/08/2003	Francois Cottard	06028.0036-00	9625	
22852	7590 07/18/2006		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			ELHILO, EISA B		
LLP 901 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20001-4413		1751		

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/	728,888	COTTARD ET AL	COTTARD ET AL.			
		Exa	miner	Art Unit				
_		Eisa	a B. Elhilo	1751				
The MAILIN Period for Reply	IG DATE of this commun	ication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ONGER, FROM THE M be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this comm specified above, the maximum sta	of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will application, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNION THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1) Responsive	to communication(s) file	ed on 19 May 20	206					
2a)⊠ This action is	` •	2b) ☐ This actio						
/ 								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	·	·	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-8</i>	3 is/are pending in the a	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
_ `	is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	3 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restric	tion and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specifica	ation is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing((s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may	not request that any object	ction to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement	drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or o	declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119							
a)	nent is made of a claim Some * c) None of:	•		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
-	ed copies of the priority ed copies of the priority			Application No.				
	•			en received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	ation from the Internatio	•						
	ned detailed Office actio			ot received.				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References		TO 049)	, _	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n's Patent Drawing Review (P e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or e <u>11/21/2005</u> .			f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1 This action is responsive to the amendment filed on May 19, 2006.
- The rejection of claims 1-45, 47,55-56 and 59-83 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cottard et al. (US 2001/0023514 A1) in view of Grollier et al. (US 4,357,141), is maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office action that mailed on November 21, 2005.
- The rejection of claims 46, 48-54 and 57-58 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cottard et al. (US 2001/0023514 A1) in view of Grollier et al. (US 4,357,141) and further in view of Laurent et al. (US 2002/0046431 A1), is maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office action that mailed on November 21, 2005.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

4 Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection of claims 1-45, 47,55-56 and 59-83 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) in view of Grollier et al. (US' 141), Applicant argues that the office failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above argument because Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) as a primary reference teaches and suggests the use of fatty amides in the oxidizing composition (see page 18, paragraph, 0370) and wherein the oxidizing composition is mixed or combined with a dyeing composition to form an oxidation dyeing composition that applied to the hair (see page 19, paragraphs, 0371-0372). Grollier et al. (US' 141) as a secondary reference clearly teaches the claimed species oleic diethanolamide and stearic monoethanolamide as the

Application/Control Number: 10/728,888

Art Unit: 1751

conventional adjutants that used in an oxidative dyeing compositions (see col. 6, lines 67-68 and 7, lines 24-26). Therefore, there is a clear suggestion and sufficient modification to one having ordinary skill in the art to be motivated to incorporate the fatty amides as the conventional adjuvant as taught by Grollier et al. (US' 141) in the dyeing composition of Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) to arrive at the claimed invention.

With respect to the rejection of claims 48-54 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cottard et al. (US' 514 A1) in view of Grollier et al. (US' 141) and further in view of Laurent et al. (US' 431 A1), Applicant argues that claims 48-54 are not directed towards a cationic polyurethane as the office alleges.

The examiner's position is that Laurent et al. (US' 431 A1) as a secondary reference clearly teaches and discloses the cationic polyvinyllactams of a formula (Ib) and (IIb) which are similar to the claimed formulae (Va) and (Vb) as claimed in claim 49 (see page 6, paragraph, 0157), wherein the monomer chosen from dimethylaminopropyl-methacrylamide and acrylamidopropyltrimethylammonium chloride as claimed in claims 50-51 (see page 7, paragraph, 0191 and page 18, paragraph, 0402), wherein the monomer chosen from acrylic acid, methacylic acid as claimed in claim 52 (see page 8, paragraph, 0208) and wherein the monomer chosen from (C10-C30)alkyl acrylates as claimed 53-54 (see page 8, paragraph, 0211).

Therefore, Laurent et al. (US' 431 A1) teaches all the limitations of the claims.

5 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/728,888

Art Unit: 1751

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B. Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -5:30) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eisa Elhilo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

July 10, 2006

Page 5